# Unilaterally Optimal Carbon Policy

Samuel Kortum and David Weisbach

Indiana University April 29, 2022

Kortum and Weisbach



# Policy Dilemma







- Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> are a *global externality* 
  - harm doesn't depend on where the emissions originate •

# Policy Dilemma







- Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> are a global externality •
  - harm doesn't depend on where the emissions originate •
- Ideal policy is a global coalition with a harmonized carbon price lacksquare
  - little progress toward that ideal due to free riding or lack of political support •



![](_page_3_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> are a global externality  $\bullet$ 
  - harm doesn't depend on where the emissions originate ullet
- Ideal policy is a global coalition with a harmonized carbon price  $\bullet$ 
  - little progress toward that ideal due to free riding or lack of political support ullet
- If many countries don't participate, international trade generates carbon leakage  $\bullet$ 
  - non-coalition countries increase emissions as coalition countries reduce them  $\bullet$

![](_page_4_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> are a global externality  $\bullet$ 
  - harm doesn't depend on where the emissions originate ullet
- Ideal policy is a global coalition with a harmonized carbon price  $\bullet$ 
  - little progress toward that ideal due to free riding or lack of political support ullet
- If many countries don't participate, international trade generates carbon leakage ullet
  - non-coalition countries increase emissions as coalition countries reduce them  $\bullet$
- We consider principles for design of a carbon tax in such a world

![](_page_5_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_13.jpeg)

- Seminal paper on this issue: Markusen (1975)
- Specific issues: Hoel (1994), Keen and Kotsogiannis (2014), Balistreri, Kaffine, and Yonezawa (2014), Jakob, Steckel, and Edenhofer (2014)
- Larger issues: Farrokhi and Lashkaripour (2021), Fischer and Fox (2011), Fowlie (2009), ulletHarstad (2012), Nordhaus (2015), ...
- Talk today combines two papers: Kortum and Weisbach (2021) and Weisbach, Kortum, lacksquareWang, and Yao (2022)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 1. Overview

# 2. Basic Model

# 3. Trade in Goods

# 4. Quantitative Illustration

### Outline

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Our (2021) paper solves for a unilaterally optimal carbon policy
  - extraction and trade in fossil fuels and
  - goods produced with energy, traded as in Dornbusch, Fischer, and Samuelson (1977)

![](_page_9_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Our (2021) paper solves for a unilaterally optimal carbon policy
  - extraction and trade in fossil fuels and
  - goods produced with energy, traded as in Dornbusch, Fischer, and Samuelson (1977)
- Our (2022) paper considers simpler policies that don't depend on the details lacksquare
  - only optimal given the set of taxes considered
  - yet they also relax constraints implicit in current tax proposals

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Our (2021) paper solves for a unilaterally optimal carbon policy lacksquare
  - extraction and trade in fossil fuels and
  - goods produced with energy, traded as in Dornbusch, Fischer, and Samuelson (1977)
- Our (2022) paper considers simpler policies that don't depend on the details  $\bullet$ 
  - only optimal given the set of taxes considered
  - yet they also relax constraints implicit in current tax proposals
- Compare these policies to a bill in Congress
  - H.R. 2307: "Energy Innovation and Carbon Dividend Act of 2021"

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_14.jpeg)

	"Subtitle L-
1	AND
	"C
	"Chapter 10
2	<b>"CHAPT</b>
1	"(f) Covered
2	means—
3	"(1) in the
4	''(A)
5	States, an
6	''(B)
7	troleum p
8	"(2) in the
9	''(A)
10	United Sta
11	''(B)
12	States,
13	"(3) in the
14	''(A)
15	natural ga
16	system, ar
17	''(B)
18	United Sta

#### 5 -CARBON DIVIDENDS D CARBON FEE

CHAPTER 101. CARBON FEES.

02. CARBON BORDER FEE ADJUSTMENT.

#### **TER 101—CARBON FEES**

D ENTITY.—The term 'covered entity'

e case of crude oil—

a refinery operating in the United

#### d

any importer of any petroleum or pe-

product into the United States,

e case of coal—

any coal mining operation in the tates, and

any importer of coal into the United

ne case of natural gas-

) any entity entering pipeline quality gas into the natural gas transmission and

any importer of natural gas into the tates,

1	<b>"CHAPTER 102-</b>		
2	AD		
3	"(c) IMPORTS TO		
4	(1) IMPORTI		
5	case of any perso		
6	States any covered		
7	equal to the total of		
8	on the fuel's green		
9	mestic carbon fee.		

#### ---CARBON BORDER FEE JUSTMENT

THE UNITED STATES.—

ED COVERED FUELS FEE.—In the

on that imports into the United

fuel, there shall be imposed a fee

carbon fee that would be imposed

nhouse gas content under the do-

(2) IMPORTED CARBON-INTENSIVE PRODUCTS FEE.—In the case of any person that imports into the United States any carbon-intensive product, there shall be imposed a fee equal to the total carbon fee which would have accumulated upon the greenhouse gas content of the imported carbon-intensive product had the imported carbon-intensive product been produced domestically and subject to

1	"(d) REFUND
2 Sta	ATES.—
3	"(1) COVER
4	scribed by the S
5	fuel produced in
6	which the fee un
7	shall be allowed a
8	est) to any export
9	equal to the tota
10	ported covered fu
11	
12	"(2) CARBON
17	regulations presci
18	be allowed a cre
19	exporters of carbo
20	or produced in t
21	to the total carbon
22	house gas conten
23	product up to the

10

EXPORTS From UNITED ON

ED FUELS.—Under regulations pre-Secretary, in the case of a covered the United States with respect to nder section 9902 was paid, there as a credit or refund (without interter of such covered fuels an amount al carbon fee levied upon the exel up to the time of its exportation.

-INTENSIVE PRODUCTS.—Under ribed by the Secretary, there shall edit or refund (without interest) to on-intensive products manufactured the United States an amount equal n fees accumulated upon the greennt of the exported carbon-intensive he time of exportation.

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Tax domestic fossil fuels (extraction) and add border adjustments  $\bullet$ 
  - imports of fossil fuels are taxed at the same rate
  - tax is refunded on fossil-fuel exports
  - ... implies tax is on energy use by producers; no *effective tax* on fossil-fuel extraction •
  - border adjustments on imports and exports of carbon-intensive products lacksquare
  - ... pushes tax from producers to consumers of those products •
  - all border adjustments are at the same rate as the underlying tax  $\bullet$
- Compare to the unilateral optimal policy that we derive  $\bullet$

# Summary of H.R. 2307

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

• Tax energy extraction: tax rate equals marginal damages from global emissions

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Tax energy extraction: tax rate equals marginal damages from global emissions
- Partial border adjustments (BAs) on energy:
  - tax on energy imports and rebate tax on exports; partial: rate < extraction tax rate
  - pushes only part of the tax downstream from extractors to goods producers

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Tax energy extraction: tax rate equals marginal damages from global emissions
- Partial border adjustments (BAs) on energy:
  - tax on energy imports and rebate tax on exports; partial: rate < extraction tax rate
  - pushes only part of the tax downstream from extractors to goods producers
- Same partial BAs on carbon content of goods imports
  - import margin unchanged relative to no policy

![](_page_20_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_11.jpeg)

- Tax energy extraction: tax rate equals marginal damages from global emissions
- Partial border adjustments (BAs) on energy:
  - tax on energy imports and rebate tax on exports; partial: rate < extraction tax rate
  - pushes only part of the tax downstream from extractors to goods producers
- Same partial BAs on carbon content of goods imports
  - import margin unchanged relative to no policy
- No BAs for exports of goods; instead a subsidy per unit for marginal exporters
  - export margin expands relative to no policy

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Economic Rationale**

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Extraction tax raises global energy price; production or consumption tax lowers it
  - partial BAs optimize the mix given foreign extraction and demand elasticities

# **Economic Rationale**

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Extraction tax raises global energy price; production or consumption tax lowers it
  - partial BAs optimize the mix given foreign extraction and demand elasticities
- BAs on goods imports; mimics a consumption tax
  - avoids distorting consumption; incentivizes correct energy intensity by foreign producers

# **Economic Rationale**

![](_page_24_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Extraction tax raises global energy price; production or consumption tax lowers it
  - partial BAs optimize the mix given foreign extraction and demand elasticities
- BAs on goods imports; mimics a consumption tax
  - avoids distorting consumption; incentivizes correct energy intensity by foreign producers
- No BAs for goods exports; mimics a production tax
  - incentivizes correct energy intensity by domestic producers

![](_page_25_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Extraction tax raises global energy price; production or consumption tax lowers it
  - partial BAs optimize the mix given foreign extraction and demand elasticities
- BAs on goods imports; mimics a consumption tax  $\bullet$ 
  - avoids distorting consumption; incentivizes correct energy intensity by foreign producers
- No BAs for goods exports; mimics a production tax
  - incentivizes correct energy intensity by domestic producers
- Subsidy per unit exported expands the reach of domestic policy
  - crowds out foreign production of goods for foreign consumers

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

Basic structure of the tax is the same, which helps bridge the gap lacksquare

![](_page_28_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Basic structure of the tax is the same, which helps bridge the gap  $\bullet$
- Key lessons from the theory:  $\bullet$ 
  - (1) partial BAs on energy; tax both demand side and supply side of energy market
  - (2) different BAs on goods imports and exports; tax both production and consumption •

![](_page_29_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_9.jpeg)

- Basic structure of the tax is the same, which helps bridge the gap lacksquare
- Key lessons from the theory:  $\bullet$ 
  - (1) partial BAs on energy; tax both demand side and supply side of energy market
  - (2) different BAs on goods imports and exports; tax both production and consumption •
- We can use simple models to investigate these key lessons •
  - that will be the focus today (as in our 2022 paper) •

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_12.jpeg)

- Basic structure of the tax is the same, which helps bridge the gap lacksquare
- Key lessons from the theory:  $\bullet$ 
  - (1) partial BAs on energy; tax both demand side and supply side of energy market ullet
  - (2) different BAs on goods imports and exports; tax both production and consumption ullet
- We can use simple models to investigate these key lessons lacksquare
  - that will be the focus today (as in our 2022 paper) ullet
- Optimal subsidies to expand the reach of domestic policy require our Full Model  $\bullet$

![](_page_31_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

## 1. Overview

# 2. Basic Model

# 3. Trade in Goods

# 4. Quantitative Illustration

### Outline

![](_page_32_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Two countries extract fossil fuels, produce a numeraire service, and trade them  $\bullet$
- Key to basic model is that energy is simply consumed (heating homes) lacksquare
  - in full model, energy is used to produce goods that are also traded.) •
- Home designs a climate policy while Foreign is passive  $\bullet$ 
  - Home only considers Pareto improvements (maintains Foreign welfare) ullet
- Our results here can be found in Markusen (1975) and most directly in Hoel (1994)  $\bullet$
- Illustrate with a set of figures

![](_page_33_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Home in Autarky

#### Home

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

# NOT a Trade Equilibrium

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

# **Consumption Tax with Trade**

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Extraction Tax with Trade**

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Countries endowed with labor L and fossil fuels  $\bullet$
- Services and energy are costlessly traded
- Services produced one-for-one with labor
- Labor to extract energy (convex) ullet
- Global emissions  $\bullet$
- $\bullet$
- Foreign response to energy price:

# Model Elements

 $c(Q_{\rho}) \quad c^*(Q_{\rho}^*)$ 

 $E = Q_e^W = Q_e + Q_e^*$ 

Welfare (concave)  $U = C_s + u(C_e) - \varphi E$   $U^* = C_s^* + u^*(C_e^*) - \varphi^* E$ 

 $Q_e^*(p_e)$  $C_e^*(p_e)$ 

![](_page_38_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_21.jpeg)

# Home's Planning Problem

- Home set's a global emission target  $\bar{E}$  and keeps Foreign welfare at  $\bar{U}^*$ 
  - $C^*_{s}(p_{\rho},\bar{E})$ transfers services to Foreign
- $\max C_s + u(C_e) \varphi E$ Home solves ullet
  - subject to ullet

 $p_e$ 

$$C_e = \bar{E} - C_e^*(p_e)$$

First-order condition  $\bullet$ 

 $(p_{\rho}-c')Q_{\rho}^*$ 

extraction wedge

$$) = \bar{U}^* + \varphi^* \bar{E} - u^* (C_e^*(p_e))$$

#### $C_{s} = L + L^{*} - c(\bar{E} - Q_{\rho}^{*}(p_{\rho})) - c^{*}(Q_{\rho}^{*}(p_{\rho})) - C_{s}^{*}(p_{\rho},\bar{E})$

$$' = (u' - p_e) |C_e^{*'}|$$

consumption wedge

![](_page_39_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_21.jpeg)

# Implications for Carbon Tax

- Home equates taxes with the corresponding wedges lacksquare
- Optimal ratio of extraction to consumption tax ullet

- If the emissions goal is set optimally ullet
- Implementation:  $\bullet$ 
  - nominal tax on Home extraction  $\bullet$
  - border adjustment on energy imports and exports  $\bullet$
- Key takeaway for policy (partial BA)  $\bullet$

$$\frac{t_e}{t_c} = \frac{|C_e^{*'}|}{Q_e^{*'}}$$

$$t_e + t_c = \varphi^W$$

$$\tau = t_e + t_c = \varphi^W$$

$$\beta_e = t_c$$

$$\beta_e < \tau$$

![](_page_40_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_20.jpeg)

# **Optimal Mix of Taxes**

![](_page_41_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Suppose Foreign already had an extraction and consumption tax
  - $t_{\rho}^{*} +$ with ullet
- Home's optimal policy is then:

 $t_e = t_e^*$ 

 $t_c = t_c^*$ 

• If  $\mu^* = \phi^W$  then get the global optimum

# **Policy Coordination**

$$t_{c}^{*} = \mu^{*}$$

$$(\varphi^{W} - \mu^{*}) \frac{|C_{e}^{*'}|}{Q_{e}^{*'} + |C_{e}^{*'}|}$$

$$(\varphi^{W} - \mu^{*}) \frac{Q_{e}^{*'}}{Q_{e}^{*'} + |C_{e}^{*'}|}$$

![](_page_42_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 1. Overview

# 2. Basic Model

# 3. Trade in Goods

# 4. Quantitative Illustration

### Outline

![](_page_43_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Add a manufacturing sector, with energy used in production of goods
- These goods are traded ullet
- Consumers want the goods, not energy itself  $\bullet$
- Now we can distinguish a production tax from a consumption tax
- Maintain numeraire services, fossil-fuel extraction, and trade in energy  $\bullet$
- Given a set of taxes, Home sets the rates

![](_page_44_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

1. Carbon is pulled from the earth by energy extractors

![](_page_46_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Carbon is pulled from the earth by energy extractors
- 2. It's then embodied in energy trade

![](_page_47_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Carbon is pulled from the earth by energy extractors
- 2. It's then embodied in energy trade
- 3. Released into the atmosphere through combustion by goods producer, or utilities generating electricity for them

![](_page_48_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Carbon is pulled from the earth by energy extractors
- 2. It's then embodied in energy trade
- 3. Released into the atmosphere through combustion by goods producer, or utilities generating electricity for them
- 4. Carbon is embodied in these goods, which are traded prior to being consumed

![](_page_49_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Carbon is pulled from the earth by energy extractors
- 2. It's then embodied in energy trade
- 3. Released into the atmosphere through combustion by goods producer, or utilities generating electricity for them
- 4. Carbon is embodied in these goods, which are traded prior to being consumed
- carbon are ultimately consumed

Convenient to measure it, at each stage, in units of CO<sub>2</sub>

5. Carbon can be tracked all the way from its extraction to where the goods embodying the

![](_page_50_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_16.jpeg)

# Carbon in the World

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Replace utility from energy consumption (in Basic Model)  $\bullet$ 
  - with indirect utility from implicit consumption of energy used to produce goods
  - carbon taxes can make energy price different depending on where goods are produced

$$U = Y + \tilde{u} - \varphi E$$

- income in Home and Foreign Y = L
- Home transfer of services keep Foreign welfare constant •
- Home maximizes:  $\mathscr{L} = R_e + R_e^* +$

$$U^{*} = Y^{*} + \tilde{u}^{*} - \varphi^{*}E$$
  
$$L + R_{e} + R_{t} - T \qquad Y^{*} = L^{*} + R_{e}^{*} + T$$

$$\tilde{u} + \tilde{u}^* - \varphi^W \bar{E} - \mu (E - \bar{E})$$

![](_page_52_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Picture_19.jpeg)

# Market Economy with Carbon Taxes

- Unlike in the Basic Model, we need to spell out sources of income
- Rents to the energy sector  $R_{e} = (p_{e} \bullet$
- Tax revenue in Home (consumption-tax case)  $\bullet$
- Market clearing (consumption-tax case) lacksquare
- In general, taxes on domestic consumption, imports, and exports

Apply Roy's identity, Hotelling's lemma, and Shepard's lemma to simplify FOC's 

$$-t_e)Q_e - c(Q_e)$$
  $R_e^* = p_e Q_e^* - c^*(Q_e^*)$ 

 $R_t = t_e Q_e + t_c C_e$ 

$$Q_e(p_e - t_e) + Q_e^*(p_e) = C_e(p_e + t_c) + C_e^*(p_e)$$

$$R_t = t_e Q_e + t_d C_e^d + t_m C_e^m + t_x C_e^x$$

![](_page_53_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Picture_18.jpeg)

# Tax Extraction and Consumption

- Tax rates  $t_c = t_d = t_m \qquad t_x = 0$  $\bullet$
- Optimal ratio is identical to that for the Basic Model •
  - spread the tax burden, although other taxes may be even better
- If we optimize the emissions goal  $\bullet$
- Consumption tax is analytically attractive, doesn't mess with trade, no leakage lacksquare
  - relative prices of domestic and imported goods stay the same as without taxes  $\bullet$
- Implement with nominal extraction tax
  - and partial border adjustments on both energy and goods  $\bullet$

$$\frac{t_e}{t_c} = \frac{|C_e^{*'}|}{Q_e^{*'}}$$

$$t_e + t_c = \varphi^W$$

$$\tau = t_e + t_c$$

$$\beta_e = \beta_m = \beta_x = t_c$$

![](_page_54_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_19.jpeg)

# Tax Extraction and Production

- $t_p = t_d = t_x$ Tax rates ullet
- We now need to consider (marginal) leakage
- The optimal ratio becomes  $\bullet$

- leakage gets you to shift toward an extraction tax ullet
- Also gets you to tax less in total  $\bullet$
- Implementation is trivial; nominal extraction lacksquare
  - and partial border adjustment, only on ener  $\bullet$

$$t_m = 0$$

tage 
$$\Lambda = -\frac{\partial G_e^* / \partial t_p}{\partial G_e / \partial t_p} > 0$$

$$\frac{t_e}{t_p} = \frac{|G_e^{*'}| + \Lambda |G_e'|}{(1 - \Lambda)Q_e^{*'}}$$

$$t_e + t_p = \varphi^W - \frac{\Lambda \varphi^W Q_e^{*'}}{Q_e^{*'} + |G_e^{*'}| + \Lambda |G_e'|}$$
  
on tax 
$$\tau = t_e + t_p$$

rgy 
$$\beta_e = t_p$$
  $\beta_m = \beta_x = 0$ 

![](_page_55_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_18.jpeg)

# Tax Extraction, Consumption, and Production

- Initially unconstrained  $t_c = t_d = t_r$
- Need to define Foreign (marginal) leakag ullet
- The optimal ratio becomes  $\bullet$

- the production tax (only on Home exports)
- Get back full Pigouvian taxation ullet
- Implementation is more intricate; nominal extraction tax

$$f_{m} \qquad t_{p} = t_{x}$$

$$f_{p} = -\frac{\partial C_{e}^{f} / \partial t_{x}}{\partial C_{e}^{x} / \partial t_{x}} > 0$$

$$\frac{t_{e}}{t_{c}} = \frac{|C_{e}^{f'}| + \Lambda^{*} |C_{e}^{x'}|}{Q_{e}^{*'}}$$

$$t_{p} = t_{x} = (1 - \Lambda^{*})t_{c}$$

$$t_{e} + t_{c} = \varphi^{W}$$

 $\tau = t_{\rho} + t_{c}$ 

• partial BA on energy  $\beta_e = t_c$ , goods imports  $\beta_m = t_c$ , and goods exports  $\beta_x = t_c - t_x$ 

![](_page_56_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_15.jpeg)

# Summary of Intermediate Model

#### Policy

#### Extraction-Production

#### Extraction-Consumption

Extraction-Production-Consum

	${\mathcal T}$	$eta_e$	$eta_m$	$eta_x$
	$t_e + t_p < \mu$	$t_p$	0	0
	$t_e + t_c = \mu$	$t_c$	$t_c$	$t_c$
ption	$t_e + t_c = \mu$	$t_c$	$t_c$	$t_c - t_x$

![](_page_57_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

### 1. Overview

# 2. Basic Model

# 3. Trade in Goods

## 4. Quantitative Illustration

### Outline

![](_page_58_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_10.jpeg)

- Put back in the details from Kortum and Weisbach (2021)
- Impose functional forms for extraction and comparative advantage  $\bullet$ 
  - constant supply elasticities,  $\epsilon_S$ ,  $\epsilon_S^*$  and constant trade elasticity  $\theta$
- Calibrate to BAU using data above on carbon flows, for Home = OECD  $\bullet$ 
  - can change the taxing coalition by simply plugging in new carbon flows matrix
- All results are relative to BAU competitive equilibrium, applying "hat algebra" lacksquare
  - we normalize BAU energy price = 1

# Calibration Strategy

![](_page_59_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

- Energy share in production  $\bullet$ 
  - source: value of energy use and value added of production
- Elasticity of energy supply  $\bullet$ 
  - oil fields from Asker, Collard-Wexler, and De Loecker (2018), but also try
- Elasticity of substitution in consumption ullet
  - changing this parameter makes little difference
- Trade elasticity lacksquare
  - source: Simonovska and Waugh (2014) ullet

## **Calibrated Parameters**

$$1 - \alpha = 0.15$$

$$\epsilon_S = \epsilon_S^* = 0.5$$

 $\epsilon_{s}^{*} = 2$ 

$$\sigma = \sigma^* = 1$$

$$\theta = 4$$

![](_page_60_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_21.jpeg)

# **Calibration of Energy Supply Elasticity**

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_5.jpeg)

# OECD as Home (low elasticity)

![](_page_62_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\varepsilon_{S} = 0.5, \varepsilon_{S}^{*} = 0.5$ 

![](_page_62_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Picture_8.jpeg)

# OECD as Home (high elasticity)

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_2.jpeg)

 $\varepsilon_{S} = 0.5, \varepsilon_{S}^{*} = 2$ 

![](_page_63_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_8.jpeg)

# China Joins (low elasticity)

![](_page_64_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\varepsilon_{S} = 0.5, \varepsilon_{S}^{*} = 0.5$ 

![](_page_64_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_7.jpeg)

# China Joins (high elasticity)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_0.jpeg)

- There's scope to improve the design of a carbon tax
  - to lower the cost of achieving a given reduction in global emissions
- Might simply lower the border adjustment on energy
- Also consider dropping BAs on goods, or at least drop rebates on goods exports •
- Empirical work can make these suggestions more precise quantitatively  $\bullet$

![](_page_66_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Picture_11.jpeg)